

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/650,564 | 08/27/2003 | Messay Amerga | 020673 | 8247 | |
| 23696 OLIAL COMM | 7590 09/01/2009 INCORPOR A TED | | EXAMINER | | |
| QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. | | | SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK | | |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2618 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/01/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|----------------|--|
| 10/650,564 | AMERGA, MESSAY | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| BOBBAK SAFAIPOUR | 2618 | |

| | BOBBAK SAFAIPOUR | 2618 | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this cation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: it box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee leaves the state of | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | acricini ioi appear by materially rec | adding or onlinein ying a | 10 100000 101 | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | it canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | planation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| /Matthew D. Anderson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 | /Bobbak Safaipour/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection will not be entered because they raise new issues that require further search and consideration.